organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Liang-Ce Rong,* Xiao-Yue Li, Fang Yang, Hai-Ying Wang and Da-Qing Shi

Department of Chemistry, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: lcrong2005@yahoo.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 294 KMean $\sigma(\text{C-C}) = 0.004 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.049 wR factor = 0.142 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

3-Benzoyl-4-hydroxy-2,4,6-triphenylcyclohexane-1,1-dicarbonitrile

The title compound, $C_{33}H_{26}N_2O_2$, was synthesized by the reaction of chalcone with malononitrile under solvent-free conditions at 353 K. X-ray analysis reveals that the cyclohexane ring adopts a chair conformation.

Received 31 March 2006 Accepted 3 April 2006

Comment

Solvent-free reactions have attracted much attention in recent years (Tanaka & Toda, 2000) and have proved to have many advantages, *viz.* reduced pollution, low costs and simplicity in processing and handling. Solid-state reactions have performed well recently (Liu *et al.*, 2001; Kaupp *et al.*, 2003; Goud *et al.*, 1995; Annunziata *et al.*, 1997). We report here the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), which was synthesized by the solvent-free reaction of chalcone with malononitrile at 353 K.



In (I), the cyclohexane ring is in a chair conformmation and the phenyl rings are equatorially attached to it (Fig. 1). An intramolecular O1-H1 \cdots O2 hydrogen bond is observed in the molecular structure. The crystal packing shows that intermolecular C-H \cdots N and C-H \cdots O hydrogen bonds (Table 1) form a three-dimensional network (Fig. 2).

Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared by the reaction of chalcone (4 mmol) and malononitrile (2 mmol) with sodium hydroxide (0.20 g) as catalyst under solvent-free conditions. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution.

Crystal data	
$C_{33}H_{26}N_2O_2$	V = 1345.3 (4) Å ³
$M_r = 482.56$	Z = 2
Triclinic, P1	$D_x = 1.191 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
a = 10.996 (2) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
b = 12.017 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 12.109 (2) Å	T = 294 (2) K
$\alpha = 89.950 \ (4)^{\circ}$	Block, colourless
$\beta = 67.150 \ (3)^{\circ}$	$0.24 \times 0.16 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
$\gamma = 67.821 \ (3)^{\circ}$	
Inclinic, P1 a = 10.996 (2) Å b = 12.017 (2) Å c = 12.109 (2) Å $\alpha = 89.950$ (4)° $\beta = 67.150$ (3)° $\gamma = 67.821$ (3)°	$D_x = 1.191$ Mg m ³ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.07$ mm ⁻¹ T = 294 (2) K Block, colourless $0.24 \times 0.16 \times 0.10$ m

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.982, T_{\max} = 0.993$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$ wR(F²) = 0.142 S=1.044718 reflections 335 parameters H-atom parameters constrained 6907 measured reflections 4718 independent reflections 2647 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int}=0.024$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0573P)^2]$ + 0.1829P] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.004$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O1-H1···O2	0.82	2.04	2.718 (2)	140
$C17-H17\cdots N1^{i}$	0.93	2.56	3.455 (5)	162
C19−H19···N2 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.54	3.457 (4)	168
$C21 - H21 \cdots O1^{iii}$	0.93	2.51	3.397 (4)	159
$\text{C29}{-}\text{H29}{\cdot}{\cdot}\text{O2}^{\text{iii}}$	0.93	2.57	3.441 (4)	156
Symmetry codes: (i) $x + 1, y, z - 1$; (ii) $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1$;				

-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions (O-H = 0.82\AA and C-H = $0.93-0.98\text{\AA}$) and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$. A search for solvent-accessible voids in the crystal structure using PLATON (Spek, 2003) showed a void of 58.1Å³, but no significant peaks were found in the difference map.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors thank the Natural Science Foundation of the Education Committee of Jiangsu Province, and the Natural Science Foundation (No. 04XLB14) of Xuzhou Normal University for financial support.

References

- Annunziata, S., Aldo, S., Margherita, R. D., Manueia, G. & Arrigo, S. (1997). Tetrahedron Lett. 38, 289-290.
- Bruker (1998). SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (1999). SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Goud, B. S., Panneerselvam, K., Zacharias, D. E. & Desiraju, G. R. (1995). J.
- Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 325-330.
- Kaupp, G. M., Reza Naimi-Jamal, M. R. & Schmeyers, J. (2003). Tetrahedron, 59, 3752–3760.
- Liu, H. Y., Xu, Q. H., Liang, Y. M., Chen, B. H., Liu, W. M. & Ma, Y. L. (2001). J. Organomet. Chem. 637-639, 719-722.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing 40% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. The dashed line represents an O−H···O hydrogen bond.



Part of the crystal packing of (I), showing hydrogen bonds as dashed lines.

Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.

Tanaka, K. & Toda, F. (2000). Chem. Rev. 100, 1025-1074.